

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/589,191	ARIAV ET AL
Examiner		Art Unit	
Vincent Q. Nguyen		2831	

All Participants: _____ Status of Application: _____

(1) Vincent Q. Nguyen. (3) _____

(2) Martin Mounihan. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 February 2009 Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
The claims 1 and 11 are broad enough read on few prior arts

Claims discussed:
1, 2, 11, 12, 15

Prior art documents discussed:
Bischoff et al.(3,771,568); Baumel (5,271,267),

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

If Applicant amend the claims 1 and 11 to add the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 and claim 12 into claim 11, the case would be allowed. Claim 15 is informality due to typographical error. It was agreed that the examiner is authorized to amend the claims

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vincent Q. Nguyen/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2831

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)